

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1890-91
191.

File No. 60.

Serial Nos. 1-5

Later references.

(L. R.)



GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-5

Ch. Part

SUBJECT.

Smugglers of Octroi duties.

*Indecor
by*

Notep 15 June

1875 was
opened with the
sanction of Indian
than the former
law.

I do not know of
Notep No 723
May 1870 was

opened with the
sanction ^{of the} Indian. The
rule relating to

the goods contained
in the Notep may
be referred to the
Ordinary Paper Case.
I am afraid fine of the
same amount levied
not worth a trifle the
one mentioned in the Indian
the code that

I propose is this

"Free goods imported
from His Majesty's ~~customs~~
an oath ^{saver} ~~binding~~ under
No. 1 of 23 May 17.
and in cases of smuggling
the ordinary ^{last} ~~day~~
therein and an adol
fine of the same amount
will be levied."

AM

22/7

~~The above notification
being prescribed
the mode of stamping
the adol and therein
reference is made for smuggling
but for not reporting
within 2 months the
after in the stamp~~

It seems to

Please send
notification
of change
dated 18th August
April 18th 1864
& ^{papers} ~~papers~~ ^{checked}
therein

MS

22/7/91

1864
185981 X

to base
CA

13/7/91

For Submitted

24/7/91

The papers
wanted are
here the
do not want
more light

1917/28

The EC's ^{Alger} has
^{I think} not the same law,
but is somewhat new
before a rule legalizing
the confiscation of
smuggled goods. They
2 a fine. All right

How can a rule
now issued become
legal without law. —

Please tell me
precisely what
order you propose to
issue. Cannot
the order I have
drafted go out.?
If so, may initial
it.

Wf

217191

X I meant
Rule as law to the
applied of this highway post.

Recd
see the
Parchamers
penup
It is as I
thought
de B
1
2577191

Then see
also list of
9 26 Oct.
2577191

Under the act
of 26. Aug 1862
Free goods
imported from
Wm. Lee are
exempt from Sales
Duty - There is
no law levying
the duty of such duty
on Wm. Lee. All 28/7

Atto 5

In Chief Clerk's
P.O. dated 27th Sept
1879 (vide page 111
of the Revenue Manual)
it is stated that the
Chief Clerk passed
that order in
pursuance of
the decision given by
the Hon of Justice in
1875. — Please
note our that order of
Hon of Justice of 1875. —
2. It also appears
that Municipal octroi
was in existence prior
to 1879. Can you
find out when the
octroi duty in Municipal
was first introduced
& under what orders. —
We will solve the
question. —

U C
28/7/91

To the Under Secy

28

Cetron appears to
have expired. So
only as 1862 - Please
see the printed copy
of the process of
the Cantonment
military board for
September 1862 (Page 2
put up herewith -

but no ^{got} orders on the subject
are traceable in the
Records -

The Commission's Selection
of 1860 appears to have
been the only guide to the
Officers in the matter of
collecting fines on
smuggled goods at
8/8/91

(General Serial No. 31).

189

From

The Deputy Commis-
sioner
Chitaldurg D

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
Government of Mysore.

Dated 22nd

Despatched 27th } May 1891

Received

Enclosures No. 4.

General No. 86.
No. 87.

Sir,

I have the honor
to state that the
Amildar of Davan-
ger reports that a
bale of piece-goods
imported from
British Territory,
was smuggled into
the town of Hariha
without payment
of the prescribed
octroi duty by a

Local Board
9/5/91

cloth merchant named Varasoree.


2. The Amildar proposes to impose upon the Smuggler a penalty of 15 times the amount of the Octroi duty payable on the smuggled goods. I am not aware of any Standing Order of Government which lays down the penalties for smuggling goods into Municipal limits without payment of Octroi duty. The practice has hitherto been to levy a fine of twice or three times the amount of the prescribed Octroi duty. I therefore request the order of Government as to the course to be adopted in punishing smugglers of Octroi-payable goods in Municipal towns & whether the penalties provided in the late Commissioner's Sayer Istha of 5th May 1840 for Smuggled goods may be adopted in the case of Smuggled Octroi-payable goods also.

I have the honor to be

Your most obed^t Serv

Shamunappa

Dafinlatommissio



1891

From 2

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaloring District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore.

Dated 7th August 1891

Despatched 8th do

Received

Enclosures No. III.

General No. 225.

No. 211.

Submitted to the
Deputy Commissioner.
The previous reference
was sent to the
Deputy Commissioner with a
draft order
13th 12/91

Has the honor to request
the favor of an early reply
to this Office No. ¹⁸⁴⁷ 86 dated
²¹⁶⁵ 27-5-91 requesting instruc-
tions in the matter of deal-
ing with smugglers of
octroi duties, as a fresh
case has arisen for disposal.

Respectfully
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaloring District

Under the Board of
12/8/91

Please refer to case Book

to the Deputy Commissioner
12/8/91

12-8-91

X
- Under the C. 1 Record on 24/7/91
13/8/91.
23/9

Specimen
Amble
Vib

note (4)

Manipulation of
of 25 May 1870
merely lays down
that if Piece goods
stamped as made
and exported within
2 months, they should
be made to pay the
duty & also a fine
equal to the duty.

The Case under
consideration is of a
different kind. It
is pure smuggling.
~~Both~~ There is nothing
to show that the Act
of 1870 has the assent
of Govt of India,
any more than that
of 1846.

Do you see that
that the orders already
drafted by me
require alteration
as suggested by you.
We can hardly say
that Piece goods are
liable to duty under
Act of 1870. — They
were liable before.

24/7/71

(5)

Mr

The draft order
on the letter of the Dr
Carruthers & Co
dated 22^d Decr

1891 no 86 ⁸¹ is
herewith put up for
the approval of the
Deputy.

Mr Carruthers & Co
thinks that we cannot
act upon the notification
of 1840 as all Sayer
duties have been added
& I find no other order
under which smuggling
can be ~~prevented~~
prevented. W. Carruthers
9/10/91

Serial No.	Letter		From	To
	No.	Date		
1	$\frac{86}{81}$	22-5-91	By: Comr. - Chit-al drug.	Ch: Secy: to Govt. of Mys.
2	$\frac{225}{211}$	7. 8-91	_____ do _____	_____ do _____
3		17-7-91	Draft order -	
4		24-7-91	Mr. Chentral Rao's note	
5		28-7-91	_____ do _____	
6		9-8-91	_____ do _____	